Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 01689 01 OF 02 311805Z

51

ACTION PM-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 NEA-11 ISO-00 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 H-03

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03

SS-20 USIA-15 SAM-01 AEC-11 AECE-00 MC-02 OMB-01

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 DRC-01 /164 W

----- 022748

PR 311750Z JAN 74

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0093

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

USNMR SHAPE

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 01689

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: CAP, MARR, NATO, GW

SUBJECT: DECLASSIFICATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS DEPLOYMENTS

REF: STATE 5515

SUMMARY: PFEFFER (FONOFF NATO OFFICE DIRECTOR) AND

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 01689 01 OF 02 311805Z

BRANDT, DEPUTY CHIEF (MOD PLANS AND OPS) GAVE EMBOFF

JOINT FONOFF-MOD REACTIONS TO OUR PROPOSAL TO DECLASSIFY CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING NUCLEAR WEAPONS. THE INFORMATION THEY CONVEYED WAS SPECIFICALLY CLEARED WITH MINISTERS SCHEEL AND LEBER AND REPRESENTS CONSIDERED GERMAN VIEWS ON THE SUBJECT. THE GERMANS ARE ALMOST TOTALLY NEGATIVE ON THE MATTER; THE SUBSTANCE OF POINTS GIVEN US FOLLOW BELOW. END SUMMARY.

- 1. ESSENTIALLY THE GERMANS SEE NO ADVANTAGES TO DE-CLASSIFYING INFORMATION ABOUT NUCLEAR WEAPONS, ALTHOUGH IN THEORY THEY RECOGNIZE DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN SELECTIVE ITEMS COULD ADD TO THE OVERALL VALUE OF THE US STRATEGIC DETERRENT. HOWEVER, THEY SUSPECT THE SOVIETS KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THE APPROXIMATE NUMBERS AND TYPES OF US WEAPONS IN EUROPE SO THAT THE INCREMENT OF DETERRENT VALUE GAINED BY DECLASSIFICATION WOULD BE LARGELY THEORETICAL AND MARGINAL. THE MOD DID NOT NECESSARILY OBJECT TO DISCLOSURE OF GROSS NUMBERS OF WEAPONS, EVEN THOUGH IT BELIEVED CALCULATED LEAKS OF THIS INFORMATION MIGHT BETTER SERVE THE DETERRENT PURPOSE. THE FONOFF. HOWEVER, RAISED VIGOROUS OBJECTIONS TO THE STEP BECAUSE OF THE DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS IT COULD HAVE ON MBFR TALKS. ALONG THE SAME LINE, THE MOD WAS PREPARED TO INVESTIGATE THE EFFECTS OF DECLASSIFICATION OF THE APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF VARIOUS DELIVERY SYSTEMS, BUT THE FONOFF AGAIN VIGOROUSLY OBJECTED ON THE GROUNDS OF THE MOVE'S PRO-SPECTIVE EFFECT ON MBFR NEGOTIATIONS.
- 2. THERE WERE NO OTHER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE POINTS OF VIEW OF THE FONOFF AND MOD. THEY WERE IN TOTAL AGREEMENT THAT DECLASSIFICATION WOULD SET OFF LENGTHY PUBLIC SPECULATION AND DEBATE ABOUT THE PRESENCE AND PURPOSE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN FRG AND EUROPE. SOME PUBLIC DISCUSSION, THEY THOUGHT, MIGHT BE USEFUL INSOFAR AS IT WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO REDUCING LATENT PUBLIC UNEASINESS ABOUT THE PRESENCE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS; A REASONED PUBLIC DISCUSSION COULD, IN THIS LINE OF THOUGHT, STRENGTHEN THE PUBLIC'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE STRATEGIC PROBLEMS FACING THE FRG. THIS, THEY THINK, COULD TAKE PLACE OVER THE LONG RUN, BUT IN THE NEAR SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BONN 01689 01 OF 02 311805Z

TERM THEY ARE CONVINCED A DEBATE WOULD BE EMOTIONAL AND DETRIMENTAL TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S INTERESTS. THE RADICAL LEFT WOULD, FOR INSTANCE, HAVE A FIELD DAY PUSHING FOR THE REDUCTION OF NUCLEARS AND PLAYING ON PUBLIC FEARS WHICH ARE LIKELY TO BE FAR GREATER AFTER DECLASSIFICATION STEPS THAN NOW. THIS COULD CONCEIVABLY LEAD TO DEMONSTRATIONS AT UNITS OR INSTALLATIONS WITH NUCLEAR WEAPONS. OFFICIALS BELIEVE THE RADICAL LEFT

COULD EASILY MANIPULATE HEIGHTENED PUBLIC INTEREST IN NUCLEARS TO FOCUS CRITICISM ON THE US, WITH RESULTING STRAINS IN OVERALL US-FRG RELATIONS. THE PRESENT SITUATION IS, IN THE FRG VIEW, PREFERABLE TO THE UNCERTAINTY WHICH WOULD NECESSARILY RESULT FROM DECLASSIFICATION.

3. ALL OF THIS COULD NOT HELP BUT INHIBIT, PROBABLY SEVERELY, ANY GERMAN GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO HANDLE NUCLEAR WEAPONS QUESTIONS. BRANDT CITED ONE CASE IN PARTICULAR. HE NOTED THAT IN MAJ. GEN. GILLER'S TESTIMONY LAST YEAR AT THE JOINT CONGRESSIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY COMMITTEE, HE BRIEFLY TOUCHED ON EUROPEAN (GERMAN) ATTITUDES TOWARD ADMS. GILLER'S COMMENTS WERE IMMEDIATELY PICKED UP BY GERMAN NEWSPAPERS ALL TOO EAGER

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 01689 02 OF 02 311803Z

51

ACTION PM-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 NEA-11 ISO-00 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 H-03

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03

SS-20 USIA-15 SAM-01 AEC-11 AECE-00 MC-02 OMB-01

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 DRC-01 /164 W

----- 022687

P R 311750Z JAN 74

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0094

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

USNMR SHAPE

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG AMEMBASSY MADRID AMEMBASSY OSLO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA AMEMBASSY PARIS AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

S E C R E T SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 01689

TO PUBLICIZE THIS POLITICALLY EXPLOSIVE TOPIC. THE UPSHOT OF THE INCIDENT WAS THAT MINISTER LEBER, WHO WAS AT THAT TIME PRIVATELY SYMPATHETIC TOWARD ADMS, WAS FORCED TO RECONSIDER HIS VIEW. HOWEVER, THE CRITICAL COMMENTS WHICH GREETED GILLER'S TESTIMONY ACTED TO SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 01689 02 OF 02 311803Z

BRAKE ANY FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF ADMS BY THE DEFENSE MINISTRY.

- 4. FINALLY, THEY BELIEVE DECLASSIFICATION COULD EASILY EFFECT NATO COHESION AND DISTURB ALLIANCE SOLIDARITY. WHETHER WE AGREE WITH THEM OR NOT, THE GERMANS HAVE NO GREAT CONFIDENCE IN EUROPEAN READINESS TO FACE SOME OF THE HARD PROBLEMS OF DEFENSE. THEY THINK THAT THE PUBLIC DISCUSSION OR DEBATE WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM DE-CLASSIFICATION WOULD INVOLVE ALL THE NATO COUNTRIES, AND ALL NATO GOVERNMENTS WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO PRESSURE FROM NEUTRALIST OR LEFTIST ELEMENTS. THE REACTIONS OF EACH GOVERNMENT WOULD, OF COURSE, VARY ACCORDING TO ITS DOMESTIC POLITICAL STRENGTH, BUT IN THE FRG VIEW, NATO UNITY WITH REGARD TO NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND STRATEGY WOULD BE BOUND TO SUFFER. BRANDT THOUGHT THAT DECLASSIFICATION, IF IT WERE SUBSTANTIAL ENOUGH, COULD ULTIMATELY RESULT IN THE ABILITY OF SOME NATO GOVERN-MENTS TO MAINTAIN CURRENT DEFENSE EFFORTS.
- 5. THE FOREGOING IS THE CONSIDERED POSITION OF THE FRG AND WAS REACHED ONLY AFTER MINISTERIAL STUDY. WE BELIEVE IT IS A RELATIVELY ACCURATE AND BALANCED VIEW OF THE SITUATION HERE. GIVEN THE FRG'S SENSITIVITY TO ALL NUCLEAR PROBLEMS AND THE STRENGTH OF THEIR REACTION TO THE DECLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL, IT IS OBVIOUS WE SHOULD TAKE NO STEPS ON THE MATTER UNTIL THE GOVERNMENTHAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO STUDY IN DEPTH ANY SPECIFIC DECLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL WE THINK SHOULD BE PUT INTO EFFECT. HILLENBRAND

Declassified/Released US Depar	ment of State EO	Systematic Review 30	JUN 2005
--------------------------------	------------------	----------------------	----------

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, NUCLEAR WEAPONS, CLASSIFIED INFORMATION, SECURITY

CLASSIFICATIONS Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 31 JAN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note:

Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974BONN01689
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: BONN Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974013/aaaaacot.tel Line Count: 221 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION PM

Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: STATE 5515 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: golinofr Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01 APR 2002 **Review Event:** Review Exemptions: n/a

Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <30 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

Declassified/Released US Department of State

Review Markings:

EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: DECLASSIFICATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS DEPLOYMENTS

TAGS: MCAP, MARR, GE, NATO To: STATE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005